

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Address to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Arlington VA 22313-1450

Attorney Docket	10010730-2			
Confirmation No.				
First Named Inventor	Nelson R. Holcomb			
Application Number	10/008,598			
Filing Date	December 4, 2001			
Group Art Unit	1713			
Examiner Name	Bernard Lipman			
Title	Devices for Calibrating			
	Optical Scanners and			
	Methods of Using the			
1	Same A			

Dear Sir:

This communication is responsive to the office communication dated **C**ober 3, 2003.

In the above referenced office communication, the Examiner imposed a restriction requirement, requiring the election of the claims of either:

Group I, i.e., 1-13, 16 and 17; Group II, i.e., Claims 14, 15 and 18-34; or Group III, i.e., Claims 35-67;

for further prosecution in this application.

The Applicants hereby elect Group I with traverse.

The Applicants also respectfully urge the Examiner to rejoin the claims of Groups II and III with the elected claims of Group I for examination in this application for the following reasons.

The MPEP allows an Examiner to examine otherwise patentably distinct sets of claims if to so would not impose an undue burden on the Examiner. M.P.E.P. § 8.03 states that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

In the present case, the claims of Group II are directed to a particular kind of calibration array as claimed more broadly in the claims of Group I. As such, a complete search of the claims of Group I will identify all relevant references for the claims of Group II. Likewise, the claims of Group III include all of the elements found in the claims of Groups I and II, as these claims are directed to methods that employ

#5 10/3/13 Agilent Ref: 10010730-2 United States Application Serial No. 10/008,598

the claimed calibration arrays as well as kits that include the claims calibrations arrays of Groups I and II. As such, the search for the claims of Group I will find any relevant prior art relating to the claims of Groups II and III.

Accordingly, little, if any, additional searching should be required for the claims of Groups II and III, and therefore the examination of the claims of Groups II and III together with the claims of elected Group I should impose little, if any, additional burden on the Examiner.

As such, examining the claims of Groups II and III and the claims of elected Group I together in the present application clearly does not impose an undue or serious burden on the Examiner. In the absence of such an undue or serious burden, the Examiner is clearly instructed by the MPEP to examine the entire application. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to rejoin the claims of Groups II and III with the claims of elected Group I and to examine all the claims together in the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees associated with this communication, including any necessary fees for extensions of time, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1078.

Respectfully submitted,

BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP

Date: 10.21.03

Bret E. Field

Registration No. 37,620

BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 Middlefield Road, Suite 200 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone: (650) 327-3400 Facsimile: (650) 327-3231

F:\DOCUMENT\AGIL\040 (10010730-1)\10010730-2 response to restriction requirement.doc

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Legal Department, DL429
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 7599
Loveland, Colorado 80537-0599



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10010730-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Nelson R. Holcomb

Serial No.: 10/008,598

Filing Date: December 4, 2001

Examiner: Bernard Lipsoan, Constitution of the Constitution of the

Title: DEVICES FOR CALIBRATING OPTICAL SCANNERS AND METHODS OF USING THE SAME

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

		TRA	NSMITTAL	LETTER FOR RESPONSE	AMENDMI	ENT			
Sir:									
Tran	smitted he	erewith is/are the fo	llowing in	the above-identified a	pplication:				
□ Response/Amendment				Petition to extend time to respond					
New fee as calculated below				Supplemental Declaration					
\boxtimes	No additi	ional fee (Address	envelope	to "Mail Stop Non-Fee	e Amendm	ents")			
\boxtimes	Other: P	ostcard			(Fee \$_)			
		CLAIMS	AS AMENDE	D BY OTHER THAN A SMA	ALL ENTITY]	
	(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES		
	OTAL LAIMS		MINUS		=	X 18	\$		
	IDEP. LAIMS		MINUS		=	X 86	\$]	
☐ FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPEND			ENT CLAIM		+ 290	\$			
	EXTENSION 1 ST MONTH 2 ND MONTH 3 RD MONTH FEE 110.00 420.00 950.00] 148	MONTH 30.00 🔲	\$]		
OTHER FEES \$ TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$							\$ \$	-	
plea: 1.2	se charge	any fees required or onally please charge	credit any	1078. At any time du y over payment to Dep to Deposit Account 50	oosit Acco)- 1078 und	unt 50-10 der 37 CFF	78 pursuant to R 1.16, 1.17,	o 37 CFR	
	Respectfully submitted,								
	,	l" label no. <u>EV3339988</u>		Ву					
Date of Deposit: October 21, 2003				Bret Field for Gordon Stewart Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)					
Posta under to: C	I Service "Ex 37 CFR 1.1 ommissioner	at this is being deposited opress Mail Post Office to 0 on The date indicated of for Patents, P.O. Box 14	Addressee" above and is	service	Reg. No.	37,620			
Alexandria VA 22313-1450.					Date: October 21, 2003				
Typed Name: Donna Macedo				Telephone No. 650-485-2386					